Global Hadamard form for the Green Function in Schwarzschild space-time

Marc Casals[1, 2, *] and Brien C. Nolan[3, †]

[1] *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), Rio de Janeiro, CEP 22290-180, Brazil.*
[2] *School of Mathematical Sciences and Complex & Adaptive Systems Laboratory, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland.*
[3] *School of Mathematical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland.*

The retarded Green function of a wave equation on a 4-dimensional curved background space-time is a (generalized) function of two space-time points and diverges when these are connected by a null geodesic. The Hadamard form makes explicit the form of this divergence but only when one of the points is in a normal neighbourhood of the other point. In this paper we derive a *global in time* representation for the retarded Green function for a scalar field in Schwarzschild space-time which makes explicit its *complete* singularity structure. We interpret this representation as a sum of Hadamard forms, the summation being taken over the number of times the null wavefront has passed through a caustic point. Our representation is valid everywhere except in a neighbourhood of caustic points. We deal with these points by providing a separate representation for the Green function which makes explicit its (different) singularity structure specifically at caustics.

arXiv:1606.03075v2 [gr-qc] 8 Jul 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental object for the study of linear field perturbations of a curved space-time is the retarded Green function (GF) of the wave equation satisfied by the perturbation. Heuristically, the GF may be viewed as the value of the field at a space-time point resulting from the propagation of an 'impulsive' source at a base point. The global –not just local– behaviour of the GF is useful, for example, for determining the evolution of initial data via a Kirchhoff integral [1], for determining the self-force acting on a particle that is moving on a background space-time via the MiSaTaQuWa equation [2] and for determining the response of a quantum "particle detector" [3] (in which case the Wightman function rather than the retarded Green function is used).

Based on the seminal work by Hadamard [4], an analytic expression is known for the GF, $G_R(x,x')$, which is valid within a normal neighbourhood $\mathcal{N}(x)$ of the base space-time point x (i.e., a region $\mathcal{N}(x)$ containing x such that every $x' \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ is connected to x by a unique geodesic which lies in $\mathcal{N}(x)$). In the case of a scalar field on a $(3+1)$-dimensional space-time, this Hadamard form is [18]:

$$G_R(x,x') = [U(x,x')\delta(\sigma_4) + V(x,x')\theta(-\sigma_4)]\theta_+(x,x'), \tag{1.1}$$

where δ and θ are, respectively, the Dirac-delta and Heaviside distributions, U and V are smooth biscalars, and $\theta_+(x,x')$ equals 1 if x' lies to the future of x and equals 0 otherwise. Here, $\sigma_4 = \sigma_4(x,x')$ is Synge's world-function, i.e., one-half of the squared distance along the (unique) geodesic connecting x and x'. Eq.(1.1) explicitly shows that, in a $(3+1)$-dimensional space-time, the GF has a Dirac-delta divergence at points $x' \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ that are connected to x via a null geodesic.

It is further known [5, 6] that, outside a normal neighborhood, the GF continues to diverge when the two space-time points are connected via a null geodesic. The explicit form of the singularity outside a normal neighborhood, however, was not known within General Relativity until recently. Using a variety of methods, it has been shown [7–13] that the *global* form of the 'leading' singularity of the GF generally has the following four-fold structure in Schwarzschild, Kerr and other background space-times:

$$\delta(\sigma_4) \to \mathrm{PV}(1/\sigma_4) \to -\delta(\sigma_4) \to -\mathrm{PV}(1/\sigma_4) \to \delta(\sigma_4) \to \cdots \tag{1.2}$$

where PV denotes the Cauchy principal value distribution. This change in the character of the singularity is essentially due to the null wavefront of the field perturbation passing through a caustic point (i.e., a space-time point where neighboring null geodesics are focused). This is indicated in (1.2) by the arrow '$\to$'. Thus the leading singularity in $G_R(x,x')$ has the form $\delta(\sigma_4(x,x'))$ (respectively, $\mathrm{PV}(1/\sigma_4), -\delta(\sigma_4), -\mathrm{PV}(1/\sigma_4)$) when there is a null geodesic from x to x' that has passed through $4n$ (respectively, $4n+1, 4n+2, 4n+3$) caustics, where n is a non-negative integer. The

*Electronic address: mcasals@cbpf.br,marc.casals@ucd.ie
†Electronic address: brien.nolan@dcu.ie

four-fold structure of the GF in Schwarzschild space-time is beautifully illustrated with numerical animations in [14] and has recently been used in [8, 15, 16] in order to provide an insight into the origin of the self-force.

The above four-fold singularity structure of the GF, however, only represents its 'leading' singularity. In [11], we proved that there is also a 'sub-leading' discontinuity in the case of a black hole toy-model space-time (Plebański-Hacyan, $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{S}_2$) which displays another four-fold structure:

$$\theta(-\sigma_4) \to -\ln|\sigma_4| \to -\theta(-\sigma_4) \to \ln|\sigma_4| \to \theta(-\sigma_4) \to \cdots \tag{1.3}$$

To the best of our knowledge, such 'sub-leading' four-fold structure has not yet been shown on a black hole space-time. In this paper we derive explicit forms for both the leading and sub-leading discontinuities of the GF of the massless scalar wave equation on Schwarzschild space-time. Furthermore, although we have written the above global four-fold structures in terms of a world-function σ_4, this object is only strictly well-defined in a geodesically convex domain: a region in which all pairs of points are connected by a unique geodesic. In this paper we write the four-fold structures in Schwarzschild space-time in terms of a globally well-defined generalization of the world-function $\hat{\sigma}$ of a spacetime that is conformally related to Schwarzschild.

Our derivation is underpinned by a simple conformal transformation of the Schwarzschild metric to a direct product space-time, $\mathcal{M}_2 \times \mathbb{S}_2$, where $\mathbb{S}_2$ is the two-sphere and $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a two-dimensional Lorentzian space-time (containing the time and radial variables). In a separate paper [17] we have shown that $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a causal domain (i.e. is geodesically convex, and obeys a certain causality condition) [18], which implies that its corresponding world-function is valid globally. This allows us to write a *global* representation of the GF in Schwarzschild space-time which makes explicit the *complete* four-fold singularity structure in terms of the distributions in Eqs.(1.2) and (1.3) (with the argument σ_4 replaced by its globalized companion).

Furthermore, in any space-time dimension, one can write the biscalars in the Hadamard form as series in the world function – the so-called Hadamard series. The coefficients of the distributions in our representation for the GF in Schwarzschild are given in terms of the coefficients in the Hadamard series for the biscalar in $\mathcal{M}_2$ (essentially, the $(1+1)$-dimensional equivalent of U and V in Eq.(1.1)). Therefore, our representation of the GF has a direct geometrical interpretation in terms of geodesics in this two-dimensional causal domain. Our representation for the GF naturally takes the form of a sum of expansions about each of the null geodesics in Schwarzschild. In effect, we give a global extension of the local Hadamard form for the GF in Schwarzschild space-time, which may be characterized as a 'sum of Hadamard forms'.

This global representation for the GF is, however, not valid in a neighbourhood of caustic points (specifically, it is valid for $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$, where $\gamma_0 = 2(\sqrt{2}-1)\pi \simeq 0.828\pi$). Indeed,it is known [11, 12] that on caustic points in a spherically-symmetric space-time the above four-fold structure does not generally apply and is instead a two-fold structure. However, to the best of our knowledge, the analytical form of the singularity of the GF on caustics is not known in Schwarzschild space-time and we derive its precise form here. We thus provide a *complete* description in the whole of Schwarzschild space-time of the singularity structure of the GF.

Throughout this paper we use the term 'global' to mean global in time and for $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$.

Our method consists of a multipole expansion (indexed by $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$) of the GF, together with a representation for a Green function in the two-dimensional space-time $\mathcal{M}_2$ in terms of an infinite series involving Bessel functions, followed by a large-ℓ expansion. This method is essentially the same as the one we used in Sec.V [11]. Our large-ℓ expansion is also in the same spirit as [9, 13], although these works used a further separation in the time variable (via a Fourier transform) and expansion of the GF in terms of the so-called quasi-normal modes [1], instead of the Green function in the two-dimensional space-time $\mathcal{M}_2$ that we employ.

As an illustration of the usefulness and the properties of the mentioned Bessel function representation, we calculate and plot its leading order term (that is before carrying the full large-ℓ expansion) and compare it with an 'exact' calculation of the GF obtained using an independent method developed in [15].

For the reader who is only interested in our main results rather than the details of the calculation, we here note our main equations. Eq.(3.89) is our global sum of Hadamard forms for the GF in Schwarzschild space-time, which explicitly shows the full structure of the divergences when the points are connected by a null geodesic. This form is not valid at caustics, though. We address this in Eq.(4.11), which gives the explicit full form of the divergences of the GF at caustics in the case when the angular separation γ between the two points is zero; Eq.(4.14) gives the structure in the case of antipodal points, $\gamma = \pi$.

We note that in the derivation of our results we make two assumptions. First, as $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a causal domain [17], we know that the Hadamard form of the GF in $\mathcal{M}_2$ is valid globally (see Theorem 6.2.1 [18]). Also, the Hadamard

---

[1] The contribution from the branch cut that the GF has in the complex-frequency plane (see, e.g., [1, 15, 19]) was thus neglected in [9, 13].

series for the biscalar in this Hadamard form converges uniformly in some subregion of the normal neighbourhood of each base point [18]. We have no proof, however, that in our case this subregion is equal to the whole of the normal neighbourgood, i.e., to the whole of $\mathcal{M}_2$. The Bessel function expansion, on which our analysis is based, is only guaranteed to converge uniformly in the same region as the Hadamard series. We conjecture that, in our case, for each base point, the Bessel function expansion converges uniformly in the whole of $\mathcal{M}_2$. This is required for the complete form of the singularity structure for the GF that we obtain to be indeed valid globally. Secondly, one of the cornerstones of our analysis is an asymptotic series expansion for large-ℓ for the Bessel functions. We present two separate calculations based on this expansion. In the first one, we include a relevant remainder term, and this yields the global singularity structure. However, our second calculation, which yields the sum of Hadamard forms, drops this remainder term. That we may do so is our second assumption.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II we define the retarded Green function in Schwarzschild space-time and express it in terms of a Green function in the 2-D conformal space-time. In Sec.III we provide the main results of this paper: the 'sum of Hadamard forms' for the GF in Schwarzschild space-time with its four-fold singularity structure. In Sec.IV, we derive the two-fold singularity structure in the case of caustics. We conclude in Sec.V with some comments and suggestions for possible applications of our results. Lastly, in the Appendix, as an illustration of the multipolar modes of the GF, we calculate these in two simple $(3+1)$-D space-times: flat space-time and Nariai space-time.

Throughout this paper we use geometric units $c = G = 1$ and metric signature $(-+++)$.

II. GREEN FUNCTION ON SCHWARZSCHILD SPACE-TIME

Massless scalar field perturbations of a background space-time $\mathcal{M}$ satisfy a scalar (Klein-Gordon) wave equation. The retarded Green function $G_R(x,x')$ satisfies the inhomogeneous wave equation with a Dirac-delta-distribution source, together with a boundary condition ensuring that $G_R(x,x')$ vanishes if x' is not in the causal future of the base point x, where $x, x' \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus

$$\Box G_R(x,x') = -4\pi\delta_4(x,x'), \qquad G_R = 0 \text{ if } x' \notin J^+(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Box = \nabla_\alpha\nabla^\alpha$ is the d'Alembertian operator, $\delta_4(x,x') \equiv \frac{\delta_4(x-x')}{\sqrt{-g(x)}}$ and g is the determinant of the metric of the background space-time. The set $J^+(q)$ is the *future emission* of $q \in \mathcal{M}$, defined to be the closure of the chronological future $D^+(q)$ of q:

$$J^+(q) = \overline{D^+(q)}, \quad D^+(q) = \{p \in \mathcal{M} : \text{ there exists a future-directed time-like geodesic from } q \text{ to } p\}. \tag{2.2}$$

In the Introduction we gave the Hadamard form for the retarded Green function (GF) in a $(3+1)$-dimensional space-time, Eq.(1.1). The first term, $U\delta$, and the second term, $V\theta$, on the right-hand-side of Eq.(1.1) are usually called the 'direct' and 'tail' parts, respectively. As mentioned, the great advantage of the Hadamard form is that it makes explicit the form of the singularity of the GF. This follows from the fact that Synge's world function $\sigma_4(x,x')$ is positive/zero/negative if x and x' are, respectively, spacelike/null/timelike separated. The main disadvantage of the Hadamard form is that it is only valid in a normal neighborhood of x, i.e., for $x' \in \mathcal{N}(x)$. In many situations, however, it is very valuable to know the GF globally. In order to obtain a global representation for the GF in Schwarzschild space-time where the form of its singularities becomes explicit, we will make use of the spherical symmetry of the space-time.

We shall use the usual time coordinate of the Schwarzschild exterior (generated by the timelike Killing vector) and the tortoise radial coordinate $r_* \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ so that the line element has the form

$$ds^2 = -f(dt^2 - dr_*^2) + r^2 d\Omega_2^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where $f = f(r) \equiv 1 - 2M/r$ and $d\Omega_2^2$ is the standard line element of the unit 2-sphere. The area radius r and tortoise coordinate r_* are related by

$$\frac{dr}{dr_*} = f. \tag{2.4}$$

In these coordinates, the wave equation for G_R reads

$$-f^{-1}\frac{\partial^2 G_R}{\partial t^2} + f^{-1}\frac{\partial^2 G_R}{\partial {r_*}^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{\partial G_R}{\partial r_*} + \frac{1}{r^2}\nabla^2 G_R = -\frac{4\pi}{r^2 f}\delta_2(x^A - x^{A'})\delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x^a, x^{a'}), \tag{2.5}$$

where ∇^2 is the Laplacian operator on the unit 2-sphere, $x^A = (t, r_*)$ are coordinates on the Lorentzian 2-space (i.e. the 2-space that arises by factoring the 4-dimensional space-time by the action of the $SO(3)$ that generates the spherical symmetry) and $x^a = (\theta, \phi)$ are coordinates on the unit 2-sphere.

At this point, it is usual to rescale by a factor r: this removes the first order derivative from the wave equation. There is also a geometrical interpretation of this step. The appropriate rescaling amounts to making a conformal transformation of the metric:

$$d\hat{s}^2 \equiv r^{-2} ds^2 = -\frac{f}{r^2}(dt^2 - dr_*^2) + d\Omega_2^2. \tag{2.6}$$

By general properties of Green functions in conformally related space-times, we can write [3, 18]

$$G_R = \frac{1}{r \cdot r'} \hat{G}_R(x, x'), \tag{2.7}$$

where $\hat{G}_R(x, x')$ is the retarded Green function for the conformally invariant wave equation on the space-time with line element (2.6). We will refer to this as the *conformal Schwarzschild space-time*, which we shall denote as $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$. Using this rescaling, we find

$$-\frac{\partial^2 \hat{G}_R}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{G}_R}{\partial {r_*}^2} + \frac{f}{r^2}\left(\nabla^2 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)\hat{G}_R = -4\pi\delta_2(x^A - x^{A'})\delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x^a, x^{a'}). \tag{2.8}$$

In addition to rendering the wave equation more tractable, the conformal rescaling introduces a very useful simplification of the world function. The direct product structure of the metric induced by (2.6) yields

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sigma(x^A, x^{A'}) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Here, $\gamma \in [0, \pi]$ is the geodesic distance on the unit 2-sphere:

$$\gamma(x^a, x^{a'}) = \text{proper distance along the shortest path from } x^a \text{ to } x^{a'} \text{ on } \mathbb{S}_2, \tag{2.10}$$

$\hat{\sigma}$ is the world function of the conformal Schwarzschild space-time and $\sigma(x^A, x^{A'})$ is the world-function of the 2-dimensional Lorentzian space-time with line element

$$ds_2^2 \equiv -\frac{f}{r^2}(dt^2 - dr_*^2). \tag{2.11}$$

We will refer to this 2-dimensional space-time as the *2-d conformal space*, and denote it by $\mathcal{M}_2$. In a previous paper [17], we proved that $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a *causal domain*. This means, in particular, that each pair of points of this 2-D space-time are joined by a unique geodesic. As a consequence, σ is defined globally on $\mathcal{M}_2$, in contrast with the usual situation in four dimensions, e.g., $\hat{\sigma}$ in Eq.(2.9) is only well-defined within a normal neighbourhood of the base point. This technical point underpins the present paper, where we use a 2+2 approach to determine certain global properties of the GF on Schwarzschild space-time.

There is an immediate pay-off in terms of understanding the global causal structure of Schwarzschild space-time. The world function σ of the 2-D space-time $\mathcal{M}_2$ satisfies

$$\nabla_A \sigma \nabla^A \sigma = 2\sigma, \tag{2.12}$$

with the initial conditions $\lim_{x' \to x} \sigma(x, x') = 0$ and $\lim_{x' \to x} \nabla_A \nabla_B \sigma(x, x') = g_{AB}(x)$. As noted, there is a unique geodesic connecting any given pair of points in $\mathcal{M}_2$. Furthermore, any geodesic of the 4-D space-time decomposes as a geodesic on $\mathcal{M}_2$ and a geodesic on $\mathbb{S}_2$. That is, if $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an interval and

$$c : I \to \hat{\mathcal{M}} : s \mapsto x^\alpha(s) = (x^A(s), x^a(s)), \tag{2.13}$$

is a geodesic on $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &: I \to \mathcal{M}_2 : s \mapsto x^A(s), \\ c_2 &: I \to \mathbb{S}_2 : s \mapsto x^a(s), \end{aligned}$$

are geodesics on $\mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathbb{S}_2$ respectively (the converse statement also holds). We refer to c_1 as the projection of the geodesic c onto $\mathcal{M}_2$; there is a unique c_1 for a given geodesic c of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$. Now consider any pair of points

$x^\alpha = (x^A, x^a), x^{\alpha'} = (x^{A'}, x^{a'})$ of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$. As proven in [17], there is a unique geodesic of $\mathcal{M}_2$ connecting x^A and $x^{A'}$. In general, when x^a and $x^{a'}$ are not antipodal points (i.e., $\gamma = \pi$) or the same point (i.e., $\gamma = 0$), there is a countably infinite family of geodesics of $\mathbb{S}_2$ connecting x^a and $x^{a'}$, corresponding to multiple circuits of the appropriate great circle of the sphere. By lifting these geodesics from $\mathcal{M}_2$ and $\mathbb{S}_2$, we see that any pair of points of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ are connected by a countably infinite family of geodesics. Each of these geodesics projects to the same geodesic of $\mathcal{M}_2$. This resolves the question of the existence and multiplicity of geodesics on $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$.

Then, by conformal invariance of null geodesics, a null geodesic connects x, x' in Schwarzschild space-time if and only if a null geodesic connects the corresponding points of the conformal Schwarzschild space-time. This holds if and only if $\hat{\sigma}_k = 0$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$\hat{\sigma}_k \equiv \sigma + \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma + 2k\pi\right)^2, \tag{2.14}$$

and where $|k|$ counts the number of times that the projection c_2 of the null geodesic has crossed the caustic point $\gamma = 0$ (for $k \geq 0$) or $\gamma = \pi$ (for $k < 0$). Thus, $|k|$ corresponds to the number of times that the null geodesic has encountered a caustic. Caustics in a spherically-symmetric $(3+1)$-D space-time, such as Schwarzschild and conformal Schwarzschild space-times, correspond to an angle separation of either $\gamma = \pi$ or $\gamma = 0$. We note that when the separation on $\mathcal{M}_2$ is timelike or null, we may write (2.14) as

$$\hat{\sigma}_k = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\gamma + 2k\pi\right)^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.15}$$

where η is proper time in $\mathcal{M}_2$ for timelike separations, and is zero for null separations. This 'globalizes' the world function $\hat{\sigma}$ on the 4-D conformal Schwarzschild space-time: $\hat{\sigma}_k$ is the geodesic distance between any two causally-related points in conformal Schwarzschild space-time along a timelike or null geodesic which has passed through $|k|$ points with $\gamma = 0$ or $\gamma = \pi$ (i.e., caustics in the case of a null geodesic).

Returning to the Green functions, we separate the angle variables in the usual way via a multipolar decomposition and write

$$\hat{G}_R(x, x') = \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}(2\ell+1)G_\ell(x^A, x^{A'})P_\ell(\cos\gamma). \tag{2.16}$$

Here, P_ℓ are Legendre polynomials and G_ℓ satisfy the PDE for a Green function on the 2-D conformal space:

$$\Box_2 G_\ell - V_\ell(r_*)G_\ell = -4\pi\frac{r^2}{f}\delta_2(x^A - x^{A'}), \tag{2.17}$$

where $\Box_2$ is the d'Alembertian operator of the 2-D conformal space and the potential is

$$V_\ell(r_*) \equiv \ell(\ell+1) + \frac{2M}{r}. \tag{2.18}$$

The boundary conditions that the Green function G_ℓ obey must be such that when they are introduced in Eq.(2.16), and use is made of Eq.(2.7), the resulting Green function G_R is the *retarded* Green function of Schwarzschild space-time. In fact, $G_\ell(x^A, x^{A'})$ must be equal to the retarded Green function of Eq.(2.17) since[2] it satisfies the same partial differential equation (Eq.(2.17)) and it obeys the defining boundary conditions of a retarded Green function, as we now show. From Eq.(2.17) and the orthogonality properties of the Legendre polynomials it follows that

$$G_\ell(x^A, x^{A'}) = 2\pi\int_{-1}^{+1} d(\cos\gamma)P_\ell(\cos\gamma)\hat{G}_R(x, x'). \tag{2.19}$$

Now, from Eq.(2.14), $\sigma > 0$ implies $\hat{\sigma}_k > 0$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., for all $x' \notin J^+(x)$. Since the retarded Green function $\hat{G}_R(x, x')$ of conformal Schwarzschild is zero when $x' \notin J^+(x)$ and $\Delta t < 0$. It follows that G_ℓ is zero when $\sigma > 0$ and $\Delta t < 0$, which is the defining boundary condition of the retarded Green function in $\mathcal{M}_2$. In order to illustrate the causality properties of the G_ℓ, in the appendix we calculate them for two simple 4-D space-times: flat and Nariai space-times.

---

[2] We remind the reader that the properties described in the text define the *unique* retarded Green function – see Corollary 6.3.1 [18].

In coordinates (t, r_*), this takes the familiar form

$$-\frac{\partial^2 G_\ell}{\partial t^2}+\frac{\partial^2 G_\ell}{\partial {r_*}^2}-\frac{f}{r^2}V_\ell G_\ell=-4\pi\delta_2(x^A-x^{A'}). \tag{2.20}$$

Thus the structure of G_ℓ, and hence $\hat{G}_R$ and G_R can be probed using a variety of Partial Differential Equation techniques. In particular, there is a large body of work that exploits the amenability of (2.20) to a Fourier transform in the time coordinate. We will take an alternative approach that remains in the 2-dimensional setting and that applies (in particular) a result of Zauderer ([20]; see also Theorem 6.4.2 of [18]). This result – which we shall give explicitly in the next section – provides an expansion of G_ℓ in terms of Bessel functions and of Hadamard coefficients for a 'background' wave equation. The background equation is

$$P\mathcal{G}=-4\pi\frac{r^2}{f}\delta_2(x^A-x^{A'}) \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$P\equiv\Box_2+\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{8M}{r}\right), \tag{2.22}$$

so that (2.17) can be written in the perturbative form

$$(P-L^2)G_\ell=-4\pi\frac{r^2}{f}\delta_2(x^A-x^{A'}), \tag{2.23}$$

where $L\equiv\ell+1/2$.

The Green function of the 'background' wave equation (2.21) obeying retarded boundary conditions can be written in the 2-dimensional Hadamard form:

$$\mathcal{G}(x^A,x^{A'})=\theta(t-t')\theta(-\sigma)U(x^A,x^{A'}), \tag{2.24}$$

where the biscalar $U(x^A,x^{A'})$ is the solution of the homogeneous equation $PU=0$ satisfying boundary conditions derived from Eqs.(2.25) and (2.27) below (U is the so-called *Riemann function* of the operator P on $\mathcal{M}_2$ – see [5] and Section 6.2 of [18]). For any point $p=x^{A'}\in\mathcal{M}_2$, there is a neighbourhood $\Omega_p\subset\mathcal{M}_2$ such that $\forall x^{A'}\in\Omega_p$ we can write (we note that we are using the conventions of [18]; in the conventions of [21], the factor '$2^k/k!$' is absent):

$$U(x^A,x^{A'})=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}U_k(x^A,x^{A'})\frac{(-2\sigma)^k}{k!}, \tag{2.25}$$

where the series is uniformly convergent on Ω_p (see Th.6.2.1[18]): this is known as the *Hadamard series*. It is a conjecture that we make that Ω_p is equal to the whole of $\mathcal{M}_2$ for all $p\in\mathcal{M}_2$. We note that since $\mathcal{G}(x^A,x^{A'})$ is non-vanishing only when $\sigma\leq 0$, we can write

$$\mathcal{G}(x^A,x^{A'})=\theta(t-t')\theta(-\sigma)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}U_k(x^A,x^{A'})\frac{\eta^{2k}}{k!}, \tag{2.26}$$

The Hadamard coefficients U_k, $k\geq 0$, in $\mathcal{M}_2$ satisfy the following recurrence relations in the form of transport equations along the unique geodesic from x^A to $x^{A'}$ [18, 21–23]. In two dimensions, U_0 is the square root of the so-called van Vleck determinant $\Delta=\Delta(x^A,x^{A'})$:

$$U_0=\Delta^{1/2}\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad 2\sigma^A\nabla_A U_0=(2-\Box_2\sigma)U_0,\quad\lim_{x'\to x}U_0=1, \tag{2.27}$$

and the $U_k, k\geq 1$, are determined by solving the transport equations

$$2\sigma^A\nabla_A U_k+(\Box_2\sigma+2(k-1))\,U_k=-\frac{1}{2}PU_{k-1},\quad k\geq 1. \tag{2.28}$$

Regularity at $\sigma=0$ fixes constants of integration. Here, the operator P denotes the operator of the equation (2.21) satisfied by $\mathcal{G}$. We note that σ,Δ and U_0 depend only on the space-time geometry, but the coefficients $U_k, k\geq 1$

depend on the details of the wave operator P. An expansion of the form (2.24) with (2.25) may be obtained for any wave operator of the form

$$\hat{P} = \Box_2 + a^A \nabla_A + b, \tag{2.29}$$

where a^A and b are respectively a smooth vector field and a smooth function on $\mathcal{M}_2$. The Hadamard coefficients would then be obtained from (2.28), with P replaced by $\hat{P}$. We note that we numerically calculated σ and Δ in [17]. The 2-D Hadamard coefficients U_k play an important part in the analysis of the GF in 4-D Schwarzschild space-time that we shall carry out in Section III.

III. GLOBAL ANALYSIS OF THE GREEN FUNCTION ON SCHWARZSCHILD SPACE-TIME

We wish to derive a globally valid form for G_R on Schwarzschild space-time and its full singularity structure. As seen in Eqs.(2.7) and (2.16), this can be written as a sum of 2-dimensional Green functions:

$$G_R(x,x') = \frac{1}{2\pi r \cdot r'} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right) G_\ell \left(x^A, x^{A'}\right) P_\ell(\cos\gamma), \tag{3.1}$$

where the G_ℓ satisfy Eq.(2.23).

In this section, we show how we can use a large$-\ell$ expansion to (i) determine the full singularity structure of G_R throughout Schwarzschild space-time and (ii) express G_R as a 'sum of Hadamard forms', again throughout the space-time. This arises through the application of a theorem due to Zauderer [20], which is cited (with an alternative proof) as Theorem 6.4.2 of [18]. This result gives the following form for the retarded Green function G_ℓ on $\mathcal{M}_2$:

$$G_\ell = \theta(-\sigma)\theta(\Delta t)\mathcal{G}_\ell \left(x^A, x^{A'}\right), \quad \mathcal{G}_\ell(x^A, x^{A'}) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} U_k \left(\frac{2\eta}{L}\right)^k J_k(L\eta), \tag{3.2}$$

where η is geodesic distance (along causal geodesics) on $\mathcal{M}_2$ (so that $\sigma = -\frac{1}{2}\eta^2$), the J_k are Bessel functions and the coefficients $U_k = U_k(x^A, x^{A'})$ are the 2-D Hadamard coefficients of Eq.(2.25). These coefficients and the series Eq.(3.2) are defined globally on $\mathcal{M}_2$. This result is *not* perturbative: it holds for all $L \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. The series in Eq.(3.2), however, only converges uniformly in the region Ω_p of any $p \in \mathcal{M}_2$ where the Hadamard series Eq.(2.25) converges uniformly. As mentioned in the previous section, we conjecture that the series in Eq.(3.2) converges uniformly in the whole of $\mathcal{M}_2$ for any base point.

We note that the Bessel function expansion Eq.(3.2) yields an expression for G_R in Eq.(3.1) which (leaving aside Heaviside θ factors and the conformal factor $1/(4\pi r r')$) is the same as that for G_R in Plebański-Hacyan space-time $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{S}_2$ – see Eq.134 [11][3]– when setting $U_0 = 1$ and $U_k = 0$, $\forall k > 0$. These are the 2-D Hadamard coefficients appropriate to $\mathbb{M}_2$. We will use this fact in Sec.IV to provide some basic checks on our caustic results here in Schwarzschild space-time.

We now proceed to exploit Eq.(3.1). Before we carry out a large-ℓ expansion, in the next subsection we numerically calculate the leading $k = 0$ term and compare against an exact calculation of the GF.

A. Numerical leading term in the Bessel expansion

In this subsection we use the values of σ and U_0 that we numerically calculated in [17] in order to evaluate the leading ($k = 0$) term in the Bessel expansion Eq.(3.2). This leading term provides an approximation for $\mathcal{G}_\ell$, which we then insert into Eq.(3.1), in order to obtain an approximation for the GF in Schwarzschild. As we shall demonstrate in the subsequent subsections, the leading $k = 0$ term is sufficient to determine the 'leading order' of the singularity of the GF along null geodesics; for the next-to-leading order singularity and the regular part of the GF, other $k > 0$ terms contribute as well as the $k = 0$ term.

We plot the leading $k = 0$ term in Fig. 1 for points on a timelike circular geodesic at $r = 6M$ in Schwarzschild space-time. Fig. 1 is to be compared with Figs.1b, 10a, 11a in [15]. In the figure, we compare our approximation to an

[3] We note that in the last expression in Eq.134 [11] there is a missing factor $\theta(-\sigma_{\mathbb{M}_2})$.

'exact' calculation of the GF following [15]. We also compare it to another approximation near the 1st light-crossing as given by Eq.55 [9]. We note a few features about the plot. Firstly, one can think of the field point x as being fixed and the base point x' varying to the past along of a timelike geodesic. Then x and all points x' are connected via this timelike geodesic, but some of the points x' might also be connected to x via a null geodesic that has orbited around the black hole a certain number of times (a different number for each of these points x'). We refer to these points x' which are connected to x via a null geodesic (as well as via the timelike geodesic) as light-crossings. As mentioned, the GF will diverge at the light-crossings. For an illustration in the case of the timelike circular geodesic at $r = 6M$, see Fig.1(a) in [15]. For this specific timelike geodesic, it is easy to check that the light-crossings occur at the following times: $\Delta t/M \approx 27.62, 51.84, 58.05, 75.96, 100.09, 108.55, 124.21, \ldots$. As expected, the leading $k = 0$ approximation captures well these singularity times and the behaviour of the GF near the singularities. Secondly, the leading $k = 0$ term does not approximate well the GF before the 1st light-crossing is approached. This is not surprising, since the time regimes in-between singularities essentially correspond to a backscattering (due to the gravitational potential) 'tail' but we are only including the first 2-D Hadamard coefficient U_0 (the square root of the van Vleck determinant in 2-D). Considering this, the agreement between the leading $k = 0$ term and the 'exact' GF is rather remarkable in the time regimes between the 1st-2nd-3rd-4th light-crossings. Finally, at the singularities, neither of the two curves exactly diverges because we have introduced, both in the calculation of the $k = 0$ term and that of the exact GF, a smoothing factor in the ℓ-sum in order to avert spurious oscillations (see [15, 26]). This means that the fine features close to the singularities, as well as the behaviour of the GF at the singularities themselves, are not captured exactly by the curves. In the next sections, we will explicity find the exact behaviour of the GF as the singularities are approached.



FIG. 1: Log-plots of the retarded Green Function (times a factor of 4π) and approximations to it on Schwarzschild space-time as functions of coordinate time interval Δt for points on a timelike circular geodesic at $r = 6M$. The 'exact' GF is plotted in the straight orange curve (up to $\Delta t \approx 17M$, which is within a 'quasi-local' region, where it is equal to the 4-Ds Hadamard biscalar $V(x, x')$ of Eq.(1.1); see [15, 27] for the calculation technique) matched on to the straight green curve (from $\Delta t \approx 17M$, i.e., outside a 'quasi-local' region, where it is calculated using a sum of quasi-normal mode and branch cut contributions; see [15] for details). The dashed red curve is the Bessel expansion Eq.(3.1) with (3.2), where we only use the leading $k = 0$ term and we sum up to a finite value of $\ell = 200$ including a smoothing factor $e^{-\ell^2/(2\ell_{cut}^2)}$ with $\ell_{cut} = 25$ (see [15]). The straight blue curve, which is concentrated around the first light-crossing only, is the large-ℓ asymptotics of the quasi-normal mode contribution to the GF as given by Eq.55 [9]

B. Large$-\ell$ expansion with remainder

We now proceed to carry out a large-ℓ expansion of Eq.(3.1). The large$-\ell$ expansion is underpinned by the following large-argument expansion for Bessel functions (Eq.8.451 [28]):

$$J_k(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}}\left[\sum_{m=0}^{N} E_m\left(z-\frac{\pi}{2}k-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\frac{a_{k,m}}{(2z)^m}+R_{k,N}(z)\right], \quad |\arg(z)|<\pi, \tag{3.3}$$

for some $N\in\mathbb{N}$, where

$$E_m(x)\equiv\frac{e^{im\pi/2}}{2}\left(e^{ix}+(-1)^m e^{-ix}\right)=\cos\left(x+\frac{\pi}{2}m\right), \quad a_{k,m}\equiv\frac{\Gamma\left(k+m+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{m!\Gamma\left(k-m+\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \tag{3.4}$$

and the remainder term has the form

$$R_{k,N}(z)=\cos\left(z-\frac{\pi}{2}k-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)R_1-\sin\left(z-\frac{\pi}{2}k-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)R_2, \tag{3.5}$$

with the bounds

$$|R_1| \;<\; \left|\frac{\Gamma\left(k+2N+\frac{5}{2}\right)}{(2z)^{2N+2}(2N+2)!\Gamma\left(k-2N-\frac{3}{2}\right)}\right|, \quad N>\frac{k}{2}-\frac{5}{4}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$|R_2| \;<\; \left|\frac{\Gamma\left(k+2N+\frac{7}{2}\right)}{(2z)^{2N+3}(2N+3)!\Gamma\left(k-2N-\frac{5}{2}\right)}\right|, \quad N>\frac{k}{2}-\frac{7}{4}. \tag{3.7}$$

The expansion is valid for $|\arg(z)|<\pi$ (see equation 8.451(1) of [28]). A key feature of the expansion is that it does not apply to all orders: for each positive integer choice of N, we must cut off at the appropriate value of k.

We note that in Eq.(3.2), the argument is $z=L\eta$, and so large $\ell=L-\frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to large z.

Using Eq.(3.3), we can write down a corresponding equation for the 2-D Green function, based on Eq.(3.2):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_\ell(x^A,x^{A'}) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\Bigg\{&\sum_{j=0}^{2N}\frac{(2\eta)^j U_j}{L^j}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi L\eta}}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{N}E_m\left(L\eta-\frac{\pi}{2}j-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\frac{a_{j,m}}{(2L\eta)^m}\right)\\&+\sum_{j=0}^{2N}\frac{(2\eta)^j U_j}{L^j}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi L\eta}}R_{j,N}(L\eta)+\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\infty}\frac{(2\eta)^j U_j}{L^j}J_j(L\eta)\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We note that three terms arise here: the *finite sum*, which includes terms with $a_{j,m}$; the *remainder term*, which includes terms with $R_{j,N}$; and the *infinite tail*, which corresponds to the sum over $j\geq 2N+1$. Our next step is to rewrite these three terms as sums of ascending powers of L^{-1}, and to then sum over ℓ to determine the contribution of each term to Eq.(3.8) and hence to Eq.(3.1). Our key conclusion is that only the finite sum terms contribute to the singular part of $G_R(x,x')$.

First, we consider the infinite tail contribution of (3.8). We apply the following large order asymptotic relation for Bessel functions [31]:

$$J_k(z)\sim\frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^k, \quad k\to\infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Then for sufficiently large N, we can approximate the infinite tail as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N,\infty)}\equiv\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\infty}\frac{(2\eta)^j U_j}{L^j}J_j(L\eta)\sim\mathcal{G}^{(N,\infty)}\equiv\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\infty}U_j\frac{\eta^{2j}}{j!}. \tag{3.10}$$

From (2.26), we recognise this as the tail of the infinite series which generates the background Green function $\mathcal{G}$: note that the approximation is independent of L. It follows that, in the region where the Hadamard series Eq.(2.26) converges, the infinite tail satisfies

$$\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N,\infty)}(x^A,x^{A'})\to 0, \quad N\to\infty, \tag{3.11}$$

and that for each x^A, the convergence is uniform in L and $x^{A'}$. Summing over ℓ yields the contribution to G_R in Eq.(3.1). This corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N,\infty)}P_\ell(\cos\gamma) \;&\sim\; \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{G}^{(N,\infty)}P_\ell(\cos\gamma)\\ &=\; \mathcal{G}^{(N,\infty)}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)P_\ell(\cos\gamma)\\ &=\; \mathcal{G}^{(N,\infty)}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta\left(\gamma+2k\pi\right),\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{G}^{(N,\infty)}$ is independent of ℓ. This contribution is a large-N error term which, by Eq.(3.11), vanishes in the limit as $N\to\infty$. *This term does not contribute to the physical Green function.*

The contribution to Eq.(3.8) from the remainder term is

$$\check{R}_{\ell,N}\equiv\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi L\eta}}\sum_{j=0}^{2N}\frac{(2\eta)^jU_j}{L^j}R_{j,N}(L\eta). \tag{3.13}$$

The quantities $R_{j,N}$ are subject to the bounds of Eqs.(3.6) and (3.7), and so it follows that

$$\check{R}_{\ell,N}=O\left(\ell^{-2N-5/2}\right),\quad \ell\to\infty. \tag{3.14}$$

The corresponding contribution to (3.1) then satisfies

$$\left|\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)\check{R}_{\ell,N}P_\ell\left(\cos\gamma\right)\right|\leq\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}O\left(\ell^{-2N-3}\right). \tag{3.15}$$

It follows by the Weierstrass $M-$test that this contribution must be finite: *the remainder term does not contribute to the singular part of the retarded Green function.*

Finally, we consider the finite sum:

$$\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N)}\equiv\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi L\eta}}\sum_{j=0}^{2N}\frac{(2\eta)^jU_j}{L^j}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{N}\hat{a}_{j,m}L^{-m}\right), \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\hat{a}_{j,m}=E_m\left(L\eta-\frac{\pi}{2}j-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\frac{a_{j,m}}{(2\eta)^m}. \tag{3.17}$$

Collecting like powers of L^{-1} yields

$$\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N)}=\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi L\eta}}\sum_{k=0}^{3N}E_k\left(L\eta-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\frac{\check{\beta}_{k,N}\left(x^A,x^{A'}\right)}{L^k}, \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$\check{\beta}_{k,N}\left(x^A,x^{A'}\right)\equiv\sum_{j=\max\{0,k-N\}}^{\min\{k,2N\}}(-1)^j(2\eta)^{2j-k}U_j\left(x^A,x^{A'}\right)a_{j,k-j}. \tag{3.19}$$

Then the corresponding contribution to G_R may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} G_R^{(N)} &\equiv \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_\ell^{(N)}P_\ell(\cos\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi\eta}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}L^{1/2}P_\ell(\cos\gamma)\sum_{k=0}^{3N}\frac{\check{\beta}_{k,N}}{L^k}\left(e^{ik\pi/2}e^{i(L\eta-\pi/4)}+e^{3ik\pi/2}e^{-i(L\eta-\pi/4)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi\eta}}\sum_{k=0}^{3N}\left\{e^{ik\pi/2}\check{\beta}_{k,N}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\frac{e^{i(L\eta-\pi/4)}}{L^{k-1/2}}P_\ell(\cos\gamma)+e^{3ik\pi/2}\check{\beta}_{k,N}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-i(L\eta-\pi/4)}}{L^{k-1/2}}P_\ell(\cos\gamma)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2\pi\eta}}\sum_{k=0}^{3N}V_{k,N}\left(x^A,x^{A'}\right)\mathcal{T}_k(\eta,\gamma)+\bar{V}_{k,N}\left(x^A,x^{A'}\right)\bar{\mathcal{T}}_k(\eta,\gamma), \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where

$$V_{k,N}(x^A,x^{A'})\equiv e^{-i\pi/4}e^{ik\pi/2}\check{\beta}_{k,N}, \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_k(\eta,\gamma)\equiv\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\frac{e^{iL\eta}}{L^{k-1/2}}P_\ell(\cos\gamma). \tag{3.22}$$

In Eq.(3.20) and henceforth we use a bar on a quantity to represent complex conjugation. Now, using

$$|P_\ell(\cos\gamma)|\leq 1,\quad \gamma\in[0,\pi], \tag{3.23}$$

we obtain the bound

$$|\mathcal{T}_k(\eta,\gamma)|\leq\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-k+1/2}, \tag{3.24}$$

which shows (by applying the Weierstrass $M-$test) that the functions $\mathcal{T}_k$ are continuous for $k\geq 2$.

We conclude the following: *the singular part of the GF on the 4-D conformal Schwarzschild space-time is fully included in the $k=0$ and $k=1$ contributions to the finite sum (3.20).* These singularities are encoded in the distributions $\mathcal{T}_0$ and $\mathcal{T}_1$, which were considered in [11]. The singular part of G_R is given in detail in subsection III E below.

C. Assumption: the expansion without remainder

The function $\mathcal{G}_\ell(x^A,x^{A'})$ in Eq.(3.2) is the Riemann function for the 2-D wave equation (2.22). This is a smooth function on $\mathcal{M}_2$, and so the anticipated non-smoothness in Eq.(3.1) is due to the infinite sum: any cut-off at finite $\ell=\ell_{\max}$ would yield a smooth quantity. Thus the non-smooth nature of $G_R(x,x')$ arises from the large$-\ell$ behaviour of Eq.(3.1), and so we used a large$-\ell$ expansion above to determine the non-smooth contributions.

We can obtain further insights into the structure of the GF by noting that the approximation Eq.(3.3) gives rise to the asymptotic series

$$J_k(z)\sim\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}}\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}E_m\left(z-\frac{\pi}{2}k-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\frac{a_{k,m}}{(2z)^m}\right],\quad |z|\to\infty, \tag{3.25}$$

which is valid for $|\arg(z)|<\pi$, where E_k and $a_{k,m}$ are defined in Eq.(3.4). In this subsection, we consider the structure that arises for G_R by formally imposing this expansion for the Bessel functions in Eq.(3.2) and in the expansion Eq.(3.28) below for the Legendre polynomials. We note that in the limit $N\to\infty$, the infinite tail contribution to G_R vanishes. Using the formal expansions that we derive in this subsection amounts to the assumption that the remainder term Eq.(3.13) also vanishes in the limit $N\to\infty$.

The large-ℓ expansion requires that we first subtract the $\ell=0$ contribution to G_R, and so we define

$$G_R^{\ell\geq 1}(x,x')\equiv\frac{1}{2\pi r\cdot r'}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right)\mathcal{G}_\ell(x^A,x^{A'})P_\ell(\cos\gamma), \tag{3.26}$$

so that

$$G_R = \theta(-\sigma)\theta(\Delta t)\left(\frac{\mathcal{G}_0}{4\pi r\cdot r'} + G_R^{\ell\geq 1}\right). \tag{3.27}$$

From the comments above, the only discontinuity in the first term on the right is due to the presence of the Heaviside distributions $\theta(-\sigma)$ and $\theta(\Delta t)$. So our aim now is to expand $G_R^{\ell\geq 1}$ in inverse powers of ℓ and to identify the non-smooth contributions.

We initially expand in inverse powers of $L = \ell + 1/2$, and then in inverse powers of ℓ. This process is facilitated by the following expression for the Legendre plynomials in terms of Bessel functions: we have (see [29] and volume 2, page 58, equation 15 of [30]))

$$P_\ell(\cos\gamma) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin\gamma}\right)^{1/2}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\alpha_j(\gamma)\frac{J_j(L\gamma)}{L^j}, \tag{3.28}$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_j(\gamma)$ are elementary functions which are regular for $\gamma\in[0,\pi)$. These coefficients may be obtained in the folllowing manner:

$$\alpha_0(\gamma) = 1, \quad \alpha_j(\gamma) = (2j-1)!!\ \gamma^j\psi_j(\gamma),\ \forall j>0, \tag{3.29}$$

where the ψ_k are given via

$$\left[1+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}(\gamma^2-v^2)^{k-1}\phi_k(\gamma)\right]^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\gamma^2-v^2)^k\psi_k(\gamma), \tag{3.30}$$

with $v\in[0,\gamma]$ and

$$\phi_k(\gamma) \equiv \frac{(2\gamma)^{1-k}}{k!}\frac{J_{k-1/2}(\gamma)}{J_{1/2}(\gamma)}, \tag{3.31}$$

So, in particular,

$$\alpha_1(\gamma) = \frac{1}{8}\left(\cot\gamma - \frac{1}{\gamma}\right). \tag{3.32}$$

The series (3.28) is uniformly convergent in any interval of the form $[0,\gamma_0-\epsilon]$ with $\epsilon>0$ and $\gamma_0 = 2(\sqrt{2}-1)\pi \simeq 0.828\pi$. Note in particular that the expansion is not valid at $\gamma=\pi$.

Then applying the asymptotic series (3.25) in (3.28) yields

$$P_\ell(\cos\gamma) \ \sim\ \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin\gamma}\right)^{1/2}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha_j}{L^j}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi L\gamma}}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}E_m\left(L\gamma-\frac{\pi}{2}j-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\frac{a_{j,m}}{(2L\gamma)^m}\right), \quad \ell\to\infty,\ \gamma\in(0,\gamma_0). \tag{3.33}$$

Likewise, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_\ell\left(x^A,x^{A'}\right) \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi L\eta}}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2\eta)^jU_j}{L^j}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\hat{a}_{j,m}L^{-m}\right), \quad \ell\to\infty, \quad \eta>0, \tag{3.34}$$

where

$$\hat{a}_{j,m} = E_m\left(L\eta-\frac{\pi}{2}j-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)\frac{a_{j,m}}{(2\eta)^m}. \tag{3.35}$$

We combine these last two asymptotic relations in (3.26) and collect like powers of L, and then re-expand to collect like powers of ℓ. The result of this process, under the assumption that the remainder term Eq.(3.13) does not contribute to the GF in the limit $N\to\infty$, is

$$G_R^{\ell\geq 1} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2 r\cdot r'}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta\sin\gamma}}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\hat{\nu}_k}{\ell^k}\right), \quad \gamma\in(0,\gamma_0), \quad \eta>0, \tag{3.36}$$

where

$$\hat{\nu}_k \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k-j}}{2^{k-j}} \left(\begin{array}{c} k-1 \\ k-j \end{array} \right) \nu_j, \tag{3.37}$$

$$\nu_j \equiv E_j \left(\gamma L + \eta L - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \nu_j^{(+)}(x^A, x^{A'}, \gamma) + E_j \left(\gamma L - \eta L\right) \nu_j^{(-)}(x^A, x^{A'}, \gamma), \tag{3.38}$$

$$\nu_j^{(+)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \check{\alpha}_{j-k}(\gamma) \check{\beta}_k(x^A, x^{A'}), \tag{3.39}$$

$$\nu_j^{(-)} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{j} (-1)^k \check{\alpha}_{j-k}(\gamma) \check{\beta}_k(x^A, x^{A'}), \tag{3.40}$$

$$\check{\alpha}_j(\gamma) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{j} (-1)^m a_{m,j-m} \frac{\alpha_m(\gamma)}{(2\gamma)^{j-m}}, \tag{3.41}$$

$$\check{\beta}_j\left(x^A, x^{A'}\right) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{j} (-1)^m a_{m,j-m} \frac{U_m(x^A, x^{A'})}{(2\eta)^{j-2m}}. \tag{3.42}$$

We note that in (3.37), we use

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} -1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) = 1, \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} j \\ k \end{array} \right) = 0 \text{ for all } k > j \geq 0. \tag{3.43}$$

In (3.36), the summation index ℓ appears only as an inverse power and in the phase functions E_j. This leads to the next step, which involves collecting like phases and then summing over ℓ:

$$G_R^{\ell \geq 1} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r \cdot r'} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta \sin\gamma}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\mathcal{A}_k(\gamma+\eta) V_k^{(+)} + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_k(\gamma+\eta) \bar{V}_k^{(+)} + \mathcal{A}_k(\gamma-\eta) V_k^{(-)} + \bar{\mathcal{A}}_k(\gamma-\eta) \bar{V}_k^{(-)} \right], \tag{3.44}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_k(x) \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\ell x}}{\ell^k}, \tag{3.45}$$

$$V_k^{(+)} \equiv e^{i(\gamma+\eta)/2} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{e^{i\pi(2k-m-1)/2}}{2^{k-m+1}} \left(\begin{array}{c} k-1 \\ k-m \end{array} \right) \nu_m^{(+)}, \tag{3.46}$$

$$V_k^{(-)} \equiv e^{i(\gamma-\eta)/2} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \frac{e^{i\pi(2k-m)/2}}{2^{k-m+1}} \left(\begin{array}{c} k-1 \\ k-m \end{array} \right) \nu_m^{(-)}. \tag{3.47}$$

We note that the $V_k^{(\pm)}$ are smooth functions on the conformal Schwarzschild space-time which are independent of ℓ.

The distributions $\mathcal{A}_k, k \geq 0$ play a key role in our description of (3.44) as a sum of Hadamard forms. The basis of this structure is the observation that the $\mathcal{A}_k$ are periodic in their argument. From the space-time point of view, this periodicity is reflected in structural similarities in the form of (3.44) that repeat periodically as null geodesics emerging from the base point x execute multiple orbits around the black hole. The forms of the different $\mathcal{A}_k, k \geq 0$ are also crucial in identifying the non-smooth contribution to G_R.

D. Structure of the $\mathcal{A}_k$.

We write

$$\mathcal{A}_k(x) = \mathcal{C}_k(x) + i\mathcal{S}_k(x), \tag{3.48}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_k(x) \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \ell x}{\ell^k}, \quad k \geq 0 \tag{3.49}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_k(x) \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \ell x}{\ell^k}, \quad k \geq 0. \tag{3.50}$$

We note the distributional result

$$\mathcal{A}_k'(x) = i\mathcal{A}_{k-1}(x), \quad k \geq 1, \tag{3.51}$$

and correspondingly

$$\mathcal{A}_k(x) = \zeta(k) + i \int_0^x \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(y)dy, \quad k \geq 2, \tag{3.52}$$

where $\zeta(k) = \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ is the Riemann zeta function, and so we have the regularity results

$$\mathcal{A}_k \in C^{k-2}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathcal{A}_k^{(k-1)} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad k \geq 2. \tag{3.53}$$

For $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathcal{C}_{2k}(x) = \check{\mathcal{B}}_{2k}\left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 2\pi, \tag{3.54}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k+1}(x) = \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{2k+1}\left(\frac{x}{2\pi}\right), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 2\pi, \tag{3.55}$$

where

$$\check{\mathcal{B}}_{2k}(x) \equiv \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2}\frac{(2\pi)^{2k}}{(2k)!}\mathcal{B}_{2k}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.56}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{2k+1}(x) \equiv \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2}\frac{(2\pi)^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}\mathcal{B}_{2k+1}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.57}$$

and where $\mathcal{B}_n(x), n \geq 1$ is the n^{th} Bernoulli polynomial [31]. It follows from Fourier theory that for $k \geq 2$ even, $\mathcal{C}_k(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$ is the periodic continuation to the real line of the corresponding Bernoulli polynomial, and likewise for $\mathcal{S}_k(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $k \geq 3$ odd. To see this, we consider the following theorem (see e.g. Theorem 14.29 of [32]).

Theorem 1 *If $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is periodic on $\mathbb{R}$ with period 2π, has bounded variation on $[0, 2\pi]$ and is continuous on the closed interval I, then the Fourier series of f converges uniformly to f on I.*

Applying Theorem 1 then allows us to write $\mathcal{C}_{2k}, \mathcal{S}_{2k+1}$ as the periodic continuation of the polynomials appearing in (3.56) and (3.57) respectively:

$$\mathcal{C}_{2k}(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \ell x}{\ell^{2k}} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \theta(x - 2n\pi)\theta(2(n+1)\pi - x)\check{\mathcal{B}}_{2k}(x - 2n\pi), \quad k \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.58}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_{2k+1}(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \ell x}{\ell^{2k+1}} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \theta(x - 2n\pi)\theta(2(n+1)\pi - x)\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{2k+1}(x - 2n\pi), \quad k \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.59}$$

This accounts for approximately half of the terms in (3.44). To deal with the terms $\mathcal{C}_{2k+1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{2k}, k \geq 1$, we must first recall some facts established in [11] regarding $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$.

In [11], we showed that (using the notation of (3.48) and with $\mathbb{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$)

$$\mathcal{C}_1(x) = -\ln|x| - \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_0} \ln\left|1 - \frac{x}{2n\pi}\right|, \tag{3.60}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1(x) = -\frac{x}{2} + \pi \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left[\theta(x - 2n\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\right], \tag{3.61}$$

with the distributional derivatives

$$\mathcal{C}_0(x) \;=\; \mathcal{S}_1'(x) = -\frac{1}{2} + \pi \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta(x-2n\pi) \tag{3.62}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_0(x) \;=\; -\mathcal{C}_1'(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{PV}\left(\frac{1}{x-2n\pi}\right). \tag{3.63}$$

We define

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(x) \equiv \begin{cases} i\mathcal{C}_k(x), & k \text{ odd;} \\ \mathcal{S}_k(x), & k \text{ even,} \end{cases} \qquad k \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.64}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{Z}_k'(x) = -i\mathcal{Z}_{k-1}(x), \quad k \geq 2, x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.65}$$

and so

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(x) = \mathcal{Z}_k(0) - i\int_0^x \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}(t)dt, \quad k \geq 2, x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.66}$$

We note the values

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(0) = \begin{cases} i\zeta_k, & k \text{ odd;} \\ 0, & k \text{ even.} \end{cases} \tag{3.67}$$

Integrating (3.65) with $k = 2$ using (3.60) then yields

$$\mathcal{Z}_2(x) = x(1-\ln|x|) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_0} \left[x + (2n\pi - x)\ln\left|1 - \frac{x}{2n\pi}\right|\right] \in C^0(\mathbb{R}). \tag{3.68}$$

Integrating repeatedly yields the form below for $\mathcal{Z}_k, k \geq 2$. We state the result as a proposition: this is proven by showing that the given functions satisfy the sequence of initial value problems (IVPs)

$$\mathcal{Z}_k'(x) = -i\mathcal{Z}_{k-1}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_k(0) = \begin{cases} i\zeta_k, & k \text{ odd;} \\ 0, & k \text{ even.} \end{cases} \qquad k \geq 3. \tag{3.69}$$

It follows by an inductive argument that each IVP in this sequence has a unique solution (essentially by virtue of the continuity of the sequence of right hand sides that emerges): this solution - which takes the form stated in Proposition 1 - must be the required function $\mathcal{Z}_k$.

Proposition 1 *Let $\mathcal{Z}_k(x), k \geq 2$ be as defined in (3.64). Then*

$$\mathcal{Z}_k(x) \;=\; \underbrace{{}_0Q_k(x) + A_k x^{k-1}\ln|x|}_{n=0} + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_0} \left[{}_nQ_k(x) + A_k(x-2n\pi)^{k-1}\ln\left|1-\frac{x}{2n\pi}\right|\right], \tag{3.70}$$

where $A_k, n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 2$ are constants and

$${}_0Q_k \;=\; b_{k,0} + b_{k,1}x + \cdots + b_{k,k-1}x^{k-1}, \tag{3.71}$$

$${}_nQ_k(x) \;=\; {}_nB_{k,0} + {}_nB_{k,1}(x-2n\pi) + \cdots + {}_nB_{k,k-1}(x-2n\pi)^{k-1}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3.72}$$

are polynomials of degree $k-1$. The constant and the polynomial coefficients for the $n = 0$ contribution are determined by the relations (valid for $k \geq 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} A_k \;&=\; \frac{(-i)^k}{(k-1)!}, \\ b_{k,j} \;&=\; \frac{(-i)^j}{j!}\mathcal{Z}_{k-j}(0), \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-2, \\ b_{k,k-1} \;&=\; -\frac{(-i)^k}{(k-1)!}(\gamma + \psi(k)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant and $\psi(k) = \Gamma'(k)/\Gamma(k)$ is the digamma function. The polynomial coefficients for the $n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ contributions are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_nB_{k,k-1} &= -\frac{(-i)^k}{(k-1)!}(\gamma + \psi(k)), \quad k \geq 3, \\ {}_nB_{k,j} &= \frac{(-i)^j}{j!}\,{}_nB_{k-j,0}, \quad 0 < j \leq k-2, \\ {}_nB_{k,0} &= -\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(-2n\pi)^j\,{}_nB_{k,j}, \quad k \geq 3, \end{aligned} \tag{3.74}$$

with the initial values (read off from (3.68))

$${}_nB_{2,0} = 2n\pi, \quad {}_nB_{2,1} = 1. \tag{3.75}$$

Note that, as anticipated, the functions $\mathcal{Z}_k(x), k \geq 2$ are smooth (i.e. infinitely differentiable) everywhere except at points of the form $x = 2n\pi, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The term labelled "$n = 0$" is non-smooth at $x = 0$.

By way of summary, we note that the formulae (3.58)-(3.63) and (3.70) provide the complete description of the functions/distributions $\mathcal{A}_k$ that is required to determine (a) the global form of the singularities of the GF and (b) the sum-of-Hadamard forms representation of GF. We turn now to the first of these.

E. The singularity of the GF

The singular contribution to $G_R^{\ell\geq 1}$ arises from the $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ terms in (3.44). These correspond to the singular parts of the $k = 0, 1$ terms in (3.20). While we follow the calculation of Sec.III C, the result in this subsection in fact requires only the results of Sec.III B. In particular, the result in this subsection is valid everywhere except at $\gamma = 0, \pi$ and at $\eta = 0$. Collecting the relevant terms, we see that the 'most' singular contribution, the $k = 0$ term, is

$$\begin{aligned} G_R^{\ell\geq 1}\Big|_{k=0} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r\cdot r'}\frac{U_0(x^A, x^{A'})}{\sqrt{\eta\sin\gamma}}\left[\sin\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{C}_0(\gamma+\eta) + \cos\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{S}_0(\gamma+\eta)\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cos\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{C}_0(\gamma-\eta) + \sin\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{S}_0(\gamma-\eta)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.76}$$

Some simplification is possible here using the distributional identity $f(x)\delta(x-a) = f(a)\delta(x-a)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{C}_0(\gamma+\eta) &= \sin\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)\left[-\frac{1}{2} + \pi\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta(\gamma+\eta-2n\pi)\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\sin\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.77}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \cos\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{C}_0(\gamma-\eta) &= \cos\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\left[-\frac{1}{2} + \pi\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi)\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\cos\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right) + \pi\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^n\delta(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi). \end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} G_R^{\ell\geq 1}\Big|_{k=0} &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r\cdot r'}\frac{U_0(x^A, x^{A'})}{\sqrt{\eta\sin\gamma}}\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\sin\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\cos\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left[(-1)^n\pi\delta(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi) + \cos\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)PV\left(\frac{1}{\gamma+\eta-2n\pi}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)PV\left(\frac{1}{\gamma-\eta-2n\pi}\right)\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

Corresponding to this equation for $k=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_R^{\ell\geq 1}\Big|_{k=1} &= -\frac{1}{32\pi^2 r\cdot r'}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta\sin\gamma}}\Bigg\{ \\
&\quad \mathcal{V}(\gamma)\left[\cos\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{C}_1(\gamma+\eta)-\sin\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{S}_1(\gamma+\eta)\right] \\
&\quad +\mathcal{V}(-\gamma)\left[\sin\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{C}_1(\gamma-\eta)-\cos\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\mathcal{S}_1(\gamma-\eta)\right]\Bigg\} \qquad (3.80)\\
&= -\frac{1}{32\pi^2 r\cdot r'}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta\sin\gamma}}\Bigg\{ \\
&\quad \mathcal{V}(\gamma)\left[-\cos\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)\left(\log|\gamma+\eta|+\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_0}\log\left|1-\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2n\pi}\right|\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+\sin\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2}-\pi\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left[\theta(\gamma+\eta-2n\pi)-\frac{1}{2}\right]\right)\right] \\
&\quad +\mathcal{V}(-\gamma)\left[-\sin\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\left(\log|\gamma-\eta|+\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_0}\log\left|1-\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2n\pi}\right|\right)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+\cos\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2}-\pi\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\left[\theta(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi)-\frac{1}{2}\right]\right)\right]\Bigg\}, \qquad (3.81)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{V}(\gamma)\equiv\left(\frac{1}{\eta}+\cot\gamma\right)U_0+16\eta U_1, \qquad (3.82)
$$

and, for ease of notation, we choose to omit its explicit dependency on x^A and $x^{A'}$.

We note that the $n=0$ contributions in (3.79) and (3.81) correspond to the discontinuity terms of the Hadamard form of the Green function in the normal neighbourhood of the base point x - the familiar "$\delta+\theta$" terms of Eq.(1.1). For $n\geq 1$, we note the presence of the four-fold singularity structure mentioned in the introduction (see Eqs.(1.2) and (1.3)). Eqs.(3.79) and (3.81) together provide the full singularity structure of the retarded Green function at any points in Schwarzschild space-time (under the only conjecture that the neighbourhood of any point where Eq.(2.25) converges uniformly is the whole of $\mathcal{M}_2$) except at caustics ($\gamma=0,\pi$) and at coincidence ($\eta=0$). We obtain the singularity structure at caustics in Sec.IV.

F. The sum of Hadamard forms

To obtain the complete 'sum of Hadamard forms' representation, we now focus our attention on the $k\geq 2$ terms in (3.44). So we define

$$
G_R^{\ell\geq 1}\Big|_{k\geq 2}\equiv G_R^{\ell\geq 1}-\left(G_R^{\ell\geq 1}\Big|_{k=0}+G_R^{\ell\geq 1}\Big|_{k=1}\right). \qquad (3.83)
$$

We can then immediately write down

$$
G_R^{\ell\geq 1}\Big|_{k\geq 2}=\frac{1}{4\pi^2 r\cdot r'}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta\sin\gamma}}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}G_R^{(n)}(x^A,x^{A'},\gamma), \qquad (3.84)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_R^{(n)} \equiv & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Big[\Big(X_{2k}^{(+)}\check{\mathcal{B}}_{2k}(\gamma+\eta-2n\pi)+Y_{2k+1}^{(+)}\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{2k+1}(\gamma+\eta-2n\pi)\Big)\,\theta(\gamma+\eta-2n\pi)\theta(2(n+1)\pi-\gamma-\eta)\\
&+\Big(X_{2k}^{(-)}\check{\mathcal{B}}_{2k}(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi)+Y_{2k+1}^{(-)}\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{2k+1}(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi)\Big)\,\theta(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi)\theta(2(n+1)\pi-\gamma+\eta)\\
&+Y_{2k}^{(+)}\left({}_nq_{2k}(\gamma+\eta)+a_{2k}(\gamma+\eta-2n\pi)^{2k-1}\log\left|1-\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2n\pi}\right|\right)\\
&+X_{2k+1}^{(+)}\left({}_nq_{2k+1}(\gamma+\eta)+a_{2k+1}(\gamma+\eta-2n\pi)^{2k}\log\left|1-\frac{\gamma+\eta}{2n\pi}\right|\right)\\
&+Y_{2k}^{(-)}\left({}_nq_{2k}(\gamma-\eta)+a_{2k}(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi)^{2k-1}\log\left|1-\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2n\pi}\right|\right)\\
&+X_{2k+1}^{(-)}\left({}_nq_{2k+1}(\gamma-\eta)+a_{2k+1}(\gamma-\eta-2n\pi)^{2k}\log\left|1-\frac{\gamma-\eta}{2n\pi}\right|\right)\Big],\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}_0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.85}
$$

where

$$
X_k^{(\pm)}=X_k^{(\pm)}(x^A,x^{A'},\gamma)\equiv V_k^{(\pm)}+\bar{V}_k^{(\pm)},\quad Y_k^{(\pm)}=Y_k^{(\pm)}(x^A,x^{A'},\gamma)\equiv i(V_k^{(\pm)}-\bar{V}_k^{(\pm)}),
\tag{3.86}
$$

and

$${}_nq_k\equiv\begin{cases}-i_nQ_k, & k \text{ odd};\\ {}_nQ_k, & k \text{ even};\end{cases}\qquad a_k\equiv\begin{cases}-iA_k, & k \text{ odd};\\ A_k, & k \text{ even}.\end{cases}
\tag{3.87}$$

(The latter definitions are required to remove the imaginary unit in the definition (3.64) of $\mathcal{Z}_k$.) The $n=0$ contribution, $G_R^{(0)}$, has the same form as (3.85) but with the replacements

$$
\log\left|1-\frac{\gamma\pm\eta}{2n\pi}\right|\to\log|\gamma\pm\eta|\,.
\tag{3.88}
$$

It is a straight-forward algebraic manipulation to put together all Eqs.(3.27), (3.79), (3.81) and (3.84) and regroup them in the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_R=\frac{\theta(-\sigma)\theta(\Delta t)}{4\pi^2 r\cdot r'\sqrt{\eta\sin\gamma}}\Bigg\{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\Bigg[&U_0\left(\pi(-1)^n\delta(x_{-,n})+\cos\left(\frac{x_{+,0}}{2}\right)\mathrm{PV}\left(\frac{1}{x_{+,n}}\right)+\sin\left(\frac{x_{-,0}}{2}\right)\mathrm{PV}\left(\frac{1}{x_{-,n}}\right)\right)+\\
&\mathcal{V}_{+,n}\theta\left(x_{+,n}\right)\theta\left(-x_{+,n+1}\right)+\mathcal{V}_{-,n}\theta\left(x_{-,n}\right)\theta\left(-x_{-,n+1}\right)+\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{+,n}\ln|\tilde{x}_{+,n}|+\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{-,n}\ln|\tilde{x}_{-,n}|\Bigg]+\mathcal{W}_n\Bigg\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.89}
$$

where

$$
x_{\pm,n}\equiv\gamma\pm\eta-2n\pi
\tag{3.90}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{x}_{\pm,n}\equiv\begin{cases}\dfrac{x_{\pm,n}}{2n\pi}, & n\in\mathbb{Z}_0,\\ x_{\pm,0}, & n=0.\end{cases}
\tag{3.91}
$$

We note that the $\hat{\sigma}_k$ in Eq.(2.15), which is the 'globalization' of the world function $\hat{\sigma}$ on the 4-D conformal Schwarzschild space-time, can be expressed as

$$
\hat{\sigma}_k=\begin{cases}x_{+,-k}\cdot x_{-,-k}, & \text{even } k;\\ x_{+,k}\cdot x_{-,k}, & \text{odd } k,\end{cases}
\tag{3.92}
$$

where $k\in\mathbb{Z}^+$. This means that $x_{\pm,n}=0$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, corresponds to null geodesics in the 4-D conformal Schwarzschild space-time and, therefore, also in Schwarzschild space-time. The coefficients in Eq.(3.89) are obtained as follows. The coefficients of the Heaviside distributions are:

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\pm,n}\equiv\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{2k}^{(\pm)}\check{\mathcal{B}}_{2k}\left(x_{\pm,n}\right)+Y_{2k+1}^{(\pm)}\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{2k+1}\left(x_{\pm,n}\right)\right).
\tag{3.93}
$$

The coefficients of the logarithms are:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{+,n} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{V}(\gamma)}{8}\cos\left(\frac{x_{+,0}}{2}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X^{(+)}_{2k+1}a_{2k+1}x^{2k}_{+,n} + Y^{(+)}_{2k}a_{2k}x^{2k-1}_{+,n}\right),$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{-,n} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{V}(-\gamma)}{8}\sin\left(\frac{x_{-,0}}{2}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(X^{(-)}_{2k+1}a_{2k+1}x^{2k}_{-,n} + Y^{(-)}_{2k}a_{2k}x^{2k-1}_{-,n}\right). \tag{3.94}$$

Finally, the coefficients in Eq.(3.89) which are not multiplying any distribution are:

$$\mathcal{W}_n \equiv \hat{\mathcal{W}}_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_0, \tag{3.95}$$
$$\mathcal{W}_0 \equiv \hat{\mathcal{W}}_0 + \pi\sqrt{\eta\sin\gamma}\;\mathcal{G}_0 - \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(U_0 + x_{+,0}\frac{V(\gamma)}{8}\right)\sin\left(\frac{x_{+,0}}{2}\right) + \left(U_0 + x_{-,0}\frac{V(-\gamma)}{8}\right)\cos\left(\frac{x_{-,0}}{2}\right)\right].$$

where

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}}_n \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(Y^{(+)}_{2k}{}_n q_{2k}(\gamma+\eta) + X^{(+)}_{2k+1}{}_n q_{2k+1}(\gamma+\eta) + Y^{(-)}_{2k}{}_n q_{2k}(\gamma-\eta) + X^{(-)}_{2k+1}{}_n q_{2k+1}(\gamma-\eta)\right) +$$
$$\frac{\pi}{8}\mathcal{V}(\gamma)\sin\left(\frac{x_{+,0}}{2}\right)\left(\theta(x_{+,n}) - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\pi}{8}\mathcal{V}(-\gamma)\cos\left(\frac{x_{-,0}}{2}\right)\left(\theta(x_{-,n}) - \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.96}$$

We remind the reader that:

- $\check{\mathcal{B}}_{2k}\left(x_{\pm,n}\right)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{2k+1}\left(x_{\pm,n}\right)$, as given by Eqs.(3.56) and (3.57), are polynomials (of order $2k$ and $2k+1$ respectively) in $x_{\pm,n}$;
- ${}_n q_k(\gamma \pm \eta)$, given by Eqs.(3.72) and (3.87), can be written as a polynomial (of order $k-1$) in $x_{\pm,n}$;
- $Y^{(\pm)}_{2k}$ and $X^{(\pm)}_{2k+1}$, given by Eqs.(3.86), (3.46), (3.47), (3.39) and (3.40)), depend on the coordinates γ, x^A and $x^{A'}$;
- the a_k, given by Eqs.(3.87) and (3.73) are numbers.

It then follows that:

- the $\mathcal{V}_{\pm,n}$ can be formally expressed as an expansion in powers of $x_{\pm,n}$;
- the k-sums in Eq.(3.94) for $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\pm,n}$ can be formally expressed as an expansion in powers of $x_{\pm,n}$;
- the k-sum of the terms containing $Y^{(\pm)}_{2k}$ and $X^{(\pm)}_{2k+1}$ in Eq.(3.95) for $\mathcal{W}_n$ can be formally expressed as an expansion in powers of $x_{+,n}$ plus another expansion in powers of $x_{-,n}$;

although none of these formal expansions are generally power series in $x_{\pm,n}$. We note that the coefficients in these expansions depend crucially on the 2-D Hadamard coefficients U_k of Eq.(2.25). Because of these various properties, together with the fact that Eq.(3.89) makes explicit the full singularity structure of the GF globally, we refer to the expression in Eq.(3.89) as a 'sum of Hamards'. We remind the reader that this sum-of-Hadamards expression is valid under two assumptions: (a) that the neighbourhood of any point where the Hadamard series Eq.(2.25) converges uniformly is the whole of $\mathcal{M}_2$; (b) that the remainder term Eq.(3.13) vanishes in the limit $N \to \infty$ (this second assumption is not required for Eqs.(3.79) and (3.81) to provide the full singularity structure of the GF). The only points where Eqs.(3.79), (3.81) and (3.89) are not valid are $\eta = 0$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma \in [\gamma_0, \pi]$ (where $\gamma_0 = 2(\sqrt{2}-1)\pi \simeq 0.828\pi$) – we deal with the points $\gamma = 0$ and π in the next section.

IV. SINGULARITY AT CAUSTICS

In this section we investigate the singularity of the GF at caustic points, which are points where null geodesics focus. Because of this property and the fact that the GF diverges when the two points in its argument are connected via a null geodesic, it is not surprising that the type of the singularity of the GF at caustics is different from that we have derived above (Eqs.(3.79) and (3.81)), which is valid away from $\gamma = 0$ and π, i.e., the values of γ for caustics in Schwarzschild space-time.

Let us first deal with the caustics with $\gamma = 0$. In this case, we just insert $P_\ell(1) = 1$, $\forall \ell$, in Eq.(3.1). We then just proceed as in Sec.III and use Eq.(3.3) in order to first gather like powers of L (where we are now of course spared the use of Eq.(3.28)) and obtain:

$$G_R = \frac{\theta(-\sigma)\theta(\Delta t)}{2^3\pi^2 rr'\eta}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left\{e^{-i\pi/4}\tilde{V}_m\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\frac{e^{iL\eta}}{L^{m-1/2}} + c.c.\right\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where 'c.c.' denotes the complex conjugation of the term that is preceding it and where

$$\tilde{V}_m \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{m} e^{-i\pi(m-2j)/2}(2\eta)^{m-2j}U_{m-j}a_{m-j,j}. \tag{4.2}$$

We note that in this case we have half-integer powers of L, as opposed to Sec.III where we had integer powers. The highest power of L here is $1/2$, as opposed to 0 in Sec.III, anticipating a 'stronger' divergence of the GF at caustic points. In order to then gather like powers of ℓ, we use

$$L^p = \ell^p\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{c_{p-1/2,j}}{\ell^j}, \quad c_{p-1/2,j} \equiv \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)\dots(p-j+1)}{j!2^j}. \tag{4.3}$$

As we are here interested only in the divergent terms, we introduce the notation $A \doteq B$ to mean that $A - B$ is a continuous function. We then have,

$$G_R \doteq \frac{1}{2^{7/2}\pi rr'\eta^{1/2}}\left[\tilde{G}^0_R + \tilde{G}^1_R\right], \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}^0_R \equiv e^{-i\pi/4}e^{i\eta/2}\mathcal{A}_{-1/2}(\eta)\tilde{V}_0c_{0,0} + c.c., \quad \tilde{G}^1_R \equiv e^{-i\pi/4}e^{i\eta/2}\mathcal{A}_{1/2}(\eta)\left(\tilde{V}_0c_{0,1} + \tilde{V}_1c_{1,0}\right) + c.c., \tag{4.5}$$

We already dealt with the distributions $\mathcal{A}_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ in Sec.III D and, originally, in [11]. Here we deal similarly with the distributions $\mathcal{A}_{\pm 1/2}$. We have [31],

$$\mathcal{A}_{3/2}(\eta) = \Gamma\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)(-i\eta)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\zeta\left(\tfrac{3}{2}-j\right)\frac{(i\eta)^j}{j!}, \quad |\eta| < 2\pi. \tag{4.6}$$

In Eq.(4.6) we take the principal branch of the square root, so that

$$(-i\eta)^{1/2} = |\eta|^{1/2}e^{i\pi(1-2\theta(\eta))/4}. \tag{4.7}$$

In order to obtain $\mathcal{A}_{\pm 1/2}(\eta)$ we use Eqs.(3.51), (4.6) and so, when inserted in Eq.(4.5), we use

$$\begin{aligned}(-i)\frac{d}{d\eta}\left((-i\eta)^{1/2}\right) &= -\frac{|\eta|^{-1/2}}{2}\left(e^{-i\pi/4} + e^{i\pi/2}\sqrt{2}\,\theta(\eta)\right),\\ e^{-i\pi/4}(-i)(-i\eta)^{-1/2} &= |\eta|^{-1/2}\left(e^{-i\pi} + e^{-i\pi/4}\sqrt{2}\,\theta(\eta)\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

We then obtain

$$\tilde{G}^0_R \doteq U_0\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{n+1}\left\{|\eta_e|^{-1/2}\theta(\eta_e) + 4\frac{d}{d\eta}\left(|\eta_e|^{-1/2}\theta(-\eta_e)\right)\right\} \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\tilde{G}^1_R \doteq \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^n|\eta_e|^{-1/2}\left\{-\frac{\left[U_1 2\eta^2 + U_0\right]\theta(-\eta_e)}{2\eta} + U_0\theta(\eta_e)\right\} \tag{4.10}$$

where $\eta_e \equiv \eta - 2n\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In obtaining Eq.(4.9) we have used the fact that $\mathcal{A}_k(\eta)$, for all k half-integer, is manifestly periodic with period 2π.

We then obtain

$$G_R \doteq \frac{1}{2^{5/2}\pi r r' \eta^{1/2}} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{n+1} \left\{ 2U_0 \frac{d}{d\eta} \left(|\eta_e|^{-1/2} \theta(-\eta_e) \right) + \frac{|\eta_e|^{-1/2}\theta(-\eta_e)}{2^2\eta} \left[U_1 2^4 \eta^2 + U_0 \right] \right\}, \tag{4.11}$$

which manifests the singularity of the GF at caustics with $\gamma = 0$.

In order to deal with the caustics with $\gamma = \pi$, we note that $P_\ell(-1) = (-1)^\ell = e^{\pm i\pi\ell}$. Therefore, Eqs.(4.4) and (4.5) apply to the case $\gamma = \pi$ merely with the replacement of η by $\eta \pm \pi$ in the argument of the $\mathcal{A}_{\pm 1/2}$. In this case, we obtain

$$\tilde{G}^0_R \doteq U_0 \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{n+1} \left\{ |\eta_o|^{-1/2}\theta(-\eta_o) - 4\frac{d}{d\eta} \left(|\eta_o|^{-1/2}\theta(\eta_o) \right) \right\} \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\tilde{G}^1_R \doteq \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n |\eta_o|^{-1/2} \left\{ \frac{\left[U_1 2^4 \eta^2 + U_0 \right] \theta(\eta_o)}{2\eta} + U_0 \theta(-\eta_o) \right\}, \tag{4.13}$$

where now $\eta_o \equiv \eta - (2n+1)\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This results in

$$G_R \doteq \frac{(-1)^n}{2^{5/2}\pi r r' \eta^{1/2}} \left\{ 2U_0 \frac{d}{d\eta} \left(|\eta_o|^{-1/2}\theta(\eta_o) \right) + \frac{|\eta_o|^{-1/2}\theta(\eta_o)}{2^2\eta} \left[U_1 2^4 \eta^2 + U_0 \right] \right\}, \tag{4.14}$$

which manifests the singularity of the GF at caustics with $\gamma = \pi$. Eqs.(4.11) and (4.14) show that the singularity of the GF at caustic points is 'stronger' than at points which are not caustics and that its structure is two-fold instead of the observed four-fold structure at points which are not caustics.

As stated in Sec.III, our results in this paper for the GF in Schwarzschild space-time should reproduce those in $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{S}_2$, times a factor $1/(4\pi r r')$, when setting $U_0 = 1$ and $U_k = 0$, $\forall k > 0$. In particular that should be true for Eq.(4.14) above when compared with Eq.132 [11] and it is easy to check that that is indeed the case. Furthermore, in Schwarzschild space-time, Fig.13 [12] shows a numerical approximation to the GF near a caustic point both for the cases $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma = \pi$. This figure seems to suggest that if the form of the GF about $\eta_e = 0$ when $\gamma = 0$ is, say, '$F(\eta_e)$', then its form about $\eta_o = 0$ when $\gamma = \pi$ is '$-F(-\eta_o)$'. This symmetry is manifest in Eqs.(4.11) and (4.14) for G_R (note, however, that this symmetry is not satisfied by the terms G^0_R and G^1_R separately), thus serving as further support for our results at caustic points in Schwarzschild space-time.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have derived a *global* (except at $\eta = 0$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma = [\gamma_0, \pi]$) representation (see Eq.(3.89)) for the retarded Green function of the wave equation for scalar field perturbations of Schwarzschild space-time. This representation makes explicit the *complete* singularity structure of the Green function and is given in terms of the Hadamard coefficients of the 'background' $(1+1)$-wave equation, Eq.(2.21). The singularity structure is valid everywere except at $\eta = 0$ and $\gamma = 0, \pi$. Thus, Eq.(3.89) is effectively an extension, which is valid globally in the outer region of Schwarzschild space-time, of the Hadamard form for the Green function, which is only valid in normal neighbourhoods. Specifically, our representation shows that, away from caustics, the singularity structure is fourfold: the 'direct' part changes as $\delta(\sigma_4)$, $\mathrm{PV}(1/\sigma_4)$, $-\delta(\sigma_4)$, $-\mathrm{PV}(1/\sigma_4)$, $\delta(\sigma_4)$, ..., whereas the 'tail' part changes as $\theta(-\sigma_4)$, $-\ln|\sigma_4|$, $-\theta(-\sigma_4)$, $\ln|\sigma_4|$, $\theta(-\sigma_4)$,.... Here, σ_4 represents a globally well-defined generalization of the world-function in Schwarzschild space-time once a geodesic is specified (see Eqs.(2.14) and (3.90)). The changes in the character of the singularity take place as the null wavefront passes through caustics of the space-time. We have analyzed the singularity of the Green function along the line of caustics separately. We have shown that, in this case, the singularity structure is instead twofold and is 'stronger' than away from caustics – the result is a little more involved than in the non-caustic case and is given in Eq.(4.11) for $\gamma = 0$ and in (4.14) for $\gamma = \pi$.

We have obtained our results by re-expressing the Schwarzschild metric as conformal to the direct product of the two-sphere and a 2-dimensional space-time, $\mathcal{M}_2$. We proved in [17] that $\mathcal{M}_2$ is a causal domain, which, in particular, means that the Hadamard form for the Green function in $\mathcal{M}_2$ is valid globally. This does not mean, however, that the corresponding Hadamard series converges uniformly everywhere. So, in order for our results to be valid globally, we have conjectured that this Hadamard series does converge uniformly in the whole of $\mathcal{M}_2$. Although the global singularity structure that we have obtained does not require any further assumptions, for the sum of Hamards Eq.(3.89) to be valid we require a second assumption: that the remainder term Eq.(3.13) vanishes in the limit $N \to \infty$.

In [8, 11] the following heuristic explanation was put forward for the fourfold singularity structure of the 'direct' part of the Green function. The retarded Green function can be obtained from the Feynman Green function as $G_R(x,x') = 2\mathrm{Re}(G_F(x,x'))\theta_+(x,x')$ [21]. The Hadamard form for the Feynman Green function in $(3+1)$-dimensions is:

$$G_F(x,x') = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} \frac{i}{2\pi}\left[\frac{U(x,x')}{\sigma_4+i\epsilon} - V(x,x')\ln(\sigma_4+i\epsilon) + W(x,x')\right], \tag{5.1}$$

where $W(x,x')$, like U(x,x') and V(x,x'), is a regular and real-valued biscalar in a normal neighbourhood of x'. Since $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} 1/(\sigma_4+i\epsilon) = \mathrm{P.V.}\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_4}\right) - i\pi\delta(\sigma_4)$ and $\lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+}\ln(\sigma_4+i\epsilon) = \ln|\sigma_4| + i\pi\theta(-\sigma_4)$, the Hadamard form Eq.(1.1) for the retarded Green function readily follows from that of the Feynman Green function, Eq.(5.1).

Now, in $(3+1)$-dimensions, the biscalar $U(x,x')$ is related to the van Vleck determinant $\Delta(x,x')$ as $U(x,x') = \Delta(x,x')^{1/2}$. It can be argued [8] that, in a spherically symmetric space-time, the van Vleck determinant picks up a phase of '$-\pi$' as the geodesic along which it is evaluated crosses a caustic point. That is, $\Delta^{1/2} = e^{-i\pi/2}|\Delta|^{1/2}$ after the geodesic has crossed a first caustic. If one then tentatively evaluated the retarded Green function from the form Eq.(5.1) for the Feynman Green function after the geodesic has crossed a first caustic point (this is of course not rigorously justified since the Hadamard form is only valid within a normal neighbourhood, which cannot contain caustic points) the singularity $\mathrm{PV}(1/\sigma_4)$ – instead of the $\delta(\sigma_4)$ – would be obtained for the 'direct' part. As the geodesic crosses later caustics, $\Delta^{1/2}$ picks up a phase '$-\pi/2$' every time and the fourfold structure (considering only the distributions, not their coefficients) for the leading singularity of the retarded Green function (that we have derived in this paper) would ensue. As suggested in [11], if the same phase '$-\pi/2$' were picked up by the biscalar $V(x,x')$ at each caustic crossing (this is known to be true –at least for V_0, the first term in the Hadamard series for $V(x,x')$– in the case of the Plebański-Hacyan space-time dealt with in [11]), then the fourfold structure for the sub-leading discontinuity of the retarded Green function that we have derived would follow similarly.

Although we have proved these singularity structures for the retarded Green function specifically for the case of a scalar field, we expect that the leading singularity structures ($\delta(\sigma_4) \to \mathrm{PV}(1/\sigma_4)\ldots$) carry over to higher-spin field perturbations of Schwarzschild space-time. The reason is that the leading singularity structure of the Green function is dictated by the coefficients of the second derivatives in the wave equation – which may be any of the generalized Regge-Wheeler or Teukolsky equations. The spin does not appear in the second order terms of these equations.

Apart from the intrinsic theoretical interest in having a 'global Hadamard form' for the retarded Green function, such an expression may have various applications. For example, in the calculation of the self-force acting on a particle moving in a curved background space-time. In [8, 15, 16] the self-force was calculated via a time integration of the GF along the past worldline of the particle. These calculations illustrated how the self-force may be seen as arising from backscattering of the field perturbation and from trapping of null geodesics, both of which are perfectly encapsulated within our 'global Hadamard form'. In terms of specific practical use, it has been observed [35] that subtracting, mode-by-mode, an ℓ-mode decomposition of an approximation of the direct term $U(x,x')\delta(\sigma)$ that we have found here (specifically, the term containing δ in Eq.(3.79) for $n=0$) from a numerical calculation (such as the one carried out in [16]) of the ℓ-modes of the retarded Green function significantly increases the accuracy of the resulting, numerical retarded Green function.

One other possible application of our results to the self-force would be to combine one of the methods used in [15, 16] (these methods are valid outside the quasi-local region; it can either be a numerical calculation of the retarded Green function or else a semi-analytic sum of its quasi-normal mode and branch cut contributions) together with our Eq.(3.89) in different time regimes. We hope to investigate these possible applications in the future.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to Chad Galley, Adrian Ottewill, Peter Taylor and Barry Wardell for useful discussions. M.C. acknowledges partial financial support by CNPq (Brazil), process number 308556/2014-3.

Appendix A

For illustration purposes, in this appendix we present the multipolar modes G_ℓ of the retarded Green function Eq.(3.1) in two simple 4-dimensional cases: flat space-time and a static region of Nariai space-time (in this case we only do it for the direct part of the Hamard form).

1. Flat Space-time

Consider 4-dimensional flat space-time. The line element in spherical coordinates is

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2 = r^2 \left(ds_2^2 + d\Omega_2^2\right), \tag{A1}$$

where

$$ds_2^2 = \frac{1}{r^2}\left(-dt^2 + dr^2\right) \tag{A2}$$

is the line element of the 2-D conformal space $\mathcal{M}_2$ corresponding to this case, whose Synge world function we denote by σ.

The Hadamard form for the GF of the wave equation for a massless scalar field in flat space-time is valid everywhere in the space-time. In spherical coordinates it is given by:

$$G_R = \theta(\Delta t)\delta(\sigma_4) = \frac{\theta(\Delta t)}{r \cdot r'}\delta\left(z - u\right), \tag{A3}$$

where γ is the angular separation between the two points,

$$z \equiv \cos\gamma, \quad u \equiv \frac{-\Delta t^2 + r^2 + r'^2}{2r \cdot r'}, \tag{A4}$$

and $\sigma_4 = r \cdot r'(u - z)$ is Synge's world function in flat space-time (after a trivial use of the law of cosines). From Eq.(3.1), and making use of the orthogonality of the Legendre polynomials, the multipolar modes G_ℓ can readily be evaluated as:

$$G_\ell = 2\pi r \cdot r' \int_{-1}^{+1} dz\, P_\ell(z) G_R = \frac{\theta(\Delta t)}{r r'}\theta\left(-\sigma_4(\gamma = 0)\right)\theta\left(\sigma_4(\gamma = \pi)\right) P_\ell\left(u\right). \tag{A5}$$

The presence of the θ-step distributions comes from ensuring that the argument of the δ-distribution in Eq.(A3) is within the limits of integration in the integral in Eq.(A5). We note that $\theta\left(-\sigma_4(\gamma = 0)\right) = \theta\left(\Delta t - |r - r'|\right)$ corresponds to the shortest possible spatial distance between two points in flat space-time given Δt, r and r', whereas $\theta\left(\sigma_4(\gamma = \pi)\right) = \theta\left(r + r' - \Delta t\right)$ corresponds to the largest possible one – see Fig.A 1 for an illustration. This combination of θ's is, therefore, as constraining as possible if their arguments are to be ℓ-independent: there are no two points in flat space-time with $\Delta t < |r - r'|$ or with $r + r' < \Delta t$ which may be joined by a null geodesic (and a massless field in flat space-time propagates purely along null geodesics).

We also note that the Legendre function $P_\ell\left(u\right)$ in Eq.(A5) is precisely the Riemann function of the wave equation on the 2-D conformal space, i.e. Eq.(2.20), for the case here. This is because: (1) it is a homogeneous solution of this equation,

$$\left(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}\right) P_\ell\left(\frac{r^2 + r'^2 - \Delta t^2}{2r \cdot r'}\right) = 0, \tag{A6}$$

and (2) it satisfies the boundary condition: $P_\ell\left(u\right) = 1$, as can be readily checked. In a normal neighbourhood it can be shown that $\theta\left(\sigma_4(\gamma = \pi)\right) = 1$. Therefore, in a normal neighbourhood, the expression for G_ℓ in Eq.(A5) is just the Hadamard form Eq.(2.24) for the retarded Green function in the 2-D conformal space. Finally, we note that the $\theta\left(-\sigma_4(\gamma = 0)\right) = \theta(-\sigma)$ in Eq.(A5) is the Heaviside distribution present in Eq.(3.2) when applied to the case here. The distribution $\theta\left(\sigma_4(\gamma = \pi)\right)$, on the other hand, cannot appear in an expression for G_ℓ in a general space-time because the Hadamard tail is generally non-zero (it is certainly non-zero in Schwarzschild space-time), as opposed to the flat space-time case here.

2. Nariai Space-time

In a a static region of Nariai space-time ($dS_2 \times \mathbb{S}_2$) the line-element can be written as [8]

$$ds^2 = -(1 - \rho^2)dt^2 + (1 - \rho^2)^{-1}d\rho^2 + d\Omega_2^2, \tag{A7}$$



FIG. A.1: Illustration of two spatial points in flat space-time at the shortest (a) and largest (b) possible spatial distances given fixed radii r and r'.

where $\rho \in (-1, +1)$, $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ and we have set the cosmological constant to be equal to unity. Synge's world function is given by $\sigma_4 = (-\sigma_2^2 + \gamma^2)/2$ where σ_2 is the world function in two-dimensional de Sitter space-time, dS_2, and is given by:

$$\cosh \sigma_2 = \rho \cdot \rho' + (1 - \rho^2)^{1/2}(1 - \rho'^2)^{1/2} \cosh \Delta t. \tag{A8}$$

In this case, we were not able to find the multipolar decomposition G_ℓ of the full G_R. However, it is straight-forward to find the multipolar decomposition of the direct part of the Hadamard form for G_R. This direct part is given by $G^{dir}(x, x') \equiv U(x, x')\delta(\sigma_4)\theta(\Delta t)$ where [8, 11]

$$U(x, x') = \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{\sinh \sigma_2}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\sin \gamma}\right)^{1/2} \tag{A9}$$

and

$$\delta(\sigma_4) = \frac{\delta(\sigma_2 + \gamma) + \delta(\gamma - \sigma_2)}{|\sigma_2|}. \tag{A10}$$

We can now readily calculate

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} d(\cos\gamma) P_\ell(\cos\gamma) G^{dir} = \theta(\Delta t)\theta\left(-\sigma_4(\gamma = 0)\right)\theta\left(\sigma_4(\gamma = \pi)\right) P_\ell\left(\cos\sigma_2\right) \left(\frac{\sin\sigma_2}{\sinh\sigma_2}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{A11}$$

The causality structure is equivalent to that in flat space-time, Eq.(A5).

[1] E. W. Leaver, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 384 (1986).
[2] E. Poisson, A. Pound, and I. Vega, Living Rev. Rel. **14**, 7 (2011), 1102.0529.
[3] N. Birrell and P. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984).
[4] J. Hadamard, Lectures on Cauchy's Problem in Linear Partial Differential Equations (Dover Publications, 1923), ISBN 978-0486495491.
[5] P. R. Garabedian, Partial Differential Equations (Chelsea Pub Co, New York, 1998), ISBN 9780821813775.
[6] M. Ikawa, Hyperbolic partial differential equations and wave phenomena. Iwanami series in modern mathematics. Translations of mat (American Mathematical Soc., Providence, 2000), ISBN 9780821810217.
[7] A. Ori, private communication (2008) and report (2009) available at `http://physics.technion.ac.il/~amos/acoustic.pdf`.
[8] M. Casals, S. Dolan, A. C. Ottewill, and B. Wardell, Phys. Rev. **D79**, 124043 (2009), 0903.0395.
[9] S. R. Dolan and A. C. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. **D84**, 104002 (2011), 1106.4318.
[10] A. I. Harte and T. D. Drivas, Physical Review D **85**, 124039 (2012).
[11] M. Casals and B. C. Nolan, Phys.Rev. **D86**, 024038 (2012), 1204.0407.
[12] A. Zenginoğlu and C. R. Galley, Phys. Rev. D 86, **064030** (2012), 1206.1109.
[13] H. Yang, F. Zhang, A. Zimmerman, and Y. Chen, Phys.Rev. **D89**, 064014 (2014), 1311.3380.
[14] A. Zenginoğlu, `http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Pe8sRjqtldQ`.

[15] M. Casals, S. Dolan, A. C. Ottewill, and B. Wardell, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 044022 (2013), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.044022.

[16] B. Wardell, C. R. Galley, A. Zenginoğlu, M. Casals, S. R. Dolan, and A. C. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 084021 (2014), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.084021.

[17] M. Casals and B. C. Nolan, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 104030 (2015), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.104030.

[18] F. G. Friedlander, The Wave Equation on a Curved Space-time (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1975), ISBN 978-0521205672.

[19] M. Casals and A. Ottewill, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 111101 (2012), URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.111101.

[20] E. Zauderer, IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics **8**, 8 (1971).

[21] B. S. DeWitt and R. W. Brehme, Ann. Phys. **9**, 220 (1960).

[22] Y. Décanini and A. Folacci, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 044025 (2008), gr-qc/0512118.

[23] A. C. Ottewill and B. Wardell, Phys.Rev. **D84**, 104039 (2011), 0906.0005.

[24] M. Dafermos and I. Rodnianski, Evolution equations, Clay Mathematics Proceedings **17**, 97 (2008).

[25] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica.

[26] G. Hardy, Divergent Series (Oxford Clarendon Press, 1949), ISBN 978-0-8218-2649-2.

[27] M. Casals, S. Dolan, A. C. Ottewill, and B. Wardell, Phys. Rev. **D79**, 124044 (2009), 0903.5319.

[28] I. Gradshteyn and I. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (Academic Press, 2007).

[29] G. von Szegö, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **s2-36**, 427 (1934), http://plms.oxfordjournals.org/content/s2-36/1/427.full.pdf+html, URL http://plms.oxfordjournals.org/content/s2-36/1/427.short.

[30] A. Erdelyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. Tricomi, Higher Transcendental Functions (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).

[31] DLMF, NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, http://dlmf.nist.gov/, Release 1.0.5 of 2012-10-01, online companion to [36], URL http://dlmf.nist.gov/.

[32] W. R. Wade, An introduction to analysis, 2000.

[33] J. D. Bekenstein and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 2850 (1981).

[34] D. E. Neville, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 265 (1983).

[35] B. Wardell and A. Ottewill, private communication.

[36] F. W. J. Olver, D. W. Lozier, R. F. Boisvert, and C. W. Clark, eds., NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 2010), print companion to [31].